Clinical evidence of blood transfusion effectiveness

Andreas Pape1, Peter Stein1, Oliver Horn1, Oliver Habler2

1 Clinic of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care Medicine and Pain Management, J.W. Goethe-University Hospital Frankfurt/Main,  
2 Clinic of Anaesthesiology, Surgical Intensive Care Medicine and Pain Management, Krankenhaus Nordwest, Frankfurt/Main, Germany

Introduction
The transfusion of allogeneic red blood cell (RBC) concentrates is common practice in critical care medicine: up to 45% of critically ill patients are transfused during their stay in an intensive care unit (ICU)10,53. Generally, RBC transfusions are performed with the intention to increase arterial oxygen content and thus oxygen delivery to the tissues.

To this end, RBC transfusions have undoubtedly been proven effective in many medical and surgical conditions, thereby particularly improving the survival of patients with critical impairment of tissue oxygenation, i.e. those with profound anaemia or circulatory shock.

Nevertheless, systematic evidence about the clinical effectiveness of blood transfusions is still difficult to obtain. The most relevant clinical studies report inconsistent effects of blood transfusion on morbidity and mortality as primary outcome parameters.

Patients requiring allogeneic blood are commonly reported to have worse outcomes than those who do not10,22,53. However, patients having required blood transfusions were usually more critically ill as documented by higher illness and organ failure scores at baseline. Irrespective of the final outcome, the efficacy of blood transfusions may be higher in patients who immediately need allogeneic blood transfusions, while the benefit of a RBC transfusion may be more difficult to assess in stable patients.

Whether a RBC transfusion may be effective or not remains an individual decision based on a thorough analysis of the patient's risk/benefit ratio and the identification of an appropriate transfusion trigger.

The present article reviews: (i) physiological principles of oxygen transport, including compensatory mechanisms of acute anaemia and limits of anaemia tolerance, (ii) clinical evidence regarding the effects of RBC transfusion on mortality and morbidity, and (iii) the effects of such transfusions on oxygen transport and tissue oxygenation.

Compensation and limits of acute anaemia
It has been known for a long time, that adequate tissue oxygenation does not depend on a normal haemoglobin concentration – always presumed that normovolaemia is maintained18,46. Since oxygen delivery to the tissues (DO₂) is defined as the product of cardiac output and arterial oxygen content (CaO₂), compensatory mechanisms of dilutional anaemia include an increase of cardiac output and an increase of arterio-venous oxygen extraction18.

Increase of cardiac output
The anaemia-related decrease of haematocrit results in a proportional decline of blood viscosity. As a consequence, venous return to the heart and left ventricular preload increase, while systemic vascular resistance and thus left ventricular afterload decrease16,17. Both effects increase left ventricular performance and, therefore, cardiac output.

In anaesthetised humans, the compensatory increase of cardiac output is – in the initial phase of acute normovolaemic anaemia – predominately achieved by an increase of left ventricular stroke volume. In more profound stages of anaemia, this mechanism is accompanied by an increase of heart rate. In awake humans, heart rate increases already in early stages of dilutional anaemia, while an early increase of heart rate in anaesthetised subjects may be indicative of hypovolaemia18,46.
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Increase of arterio-venous oxygen extraction

At the microcirculatory level, the decrease of blood viscosity entails a redistribution and homogenisation of regional blood flow, which enables an increase of oxygen extraction rate ($O_2$-ER). The increase of $O_2$-ER is reflected by a decrease of mixed-venous ($SvO_2$) and/or central venous oxygen saturation ($ScvO_2$). Which of these parameters is most appropriate for estimating total body oxygen supply is presently a matter of controversy.

"Luxury-DO$_2$"

Oxygen delivery to the tissues (DO$_2$) begins to decrease at haematocrit values lower than 25% (corresponding to a haemoglobin concentration of ~8g/dL). At haematocrit values of ~25%, the compensation of dilutional anaemia via an increase in cardiac output becomes exhausted and DO$_2$ starts to decrease. However, since DO$_2$ exceeds oxygen demand under physiological conditions by a factor of three to four, the organism's oxygen demand (reflected by total body oxygen consumption - VO$_2$ - under quiescent conditions) can be met over a large range despite a decreasing DO$_2$ (oxygen supply independency of VO$_2$, Figure 1).

Limits of anaemia tolerance

At extreme degrees of dilutional anaemia, the DO$_2$ falls below a critical value (DO$_2$crit). The amount of oxygen delivered to the tissues becomes insufficient to meet their oxygen demand and VO$_2$ starts to decline (oxygen supply dependency of VO$_2$, cf. figure 1). This indirectly indicates the onset of tissue hypoxia. The haemoglobin value that corresponds to the inflection of VO$_2$ is called the "critical haemoglobin" (Hb$_{crit}$) and reflects the physiological limit of dilutional anaemia.

In a standardised experimental protocol, it could be demonstrated that the persistence of DO$_2$crit without any treatment leads to death within less than 3 hours.

Both DO$_2$crit and Hb$_{crit}$ vary within and between individuals and are influenced by different physiological circumstances (Table I). In previous experimental studies, Hb$_{crit}$ was found at values between 2 and 3 g/dL. It has been clinically observed in anaesthetised patients that extremely low haemoglobin concentrations (Hb 3.0 ± 0.8g/dL in children undergoing major spine surgery and Hb 1.1g/dL in an unexpected massive blood loss) have been tolerated without meeting the DO$_2$crit. (Table I)

These data demonstrate that the tolerance of acute normovolaemic anaemia is high in anaesthetised subjects. However, the presented concept of DO$_2$crit refers to a critical limitation of total body oxygen supply. The limiting factor of anaemia tolerance is the oxygenation of the myocardium as the motor of haemodynamic compensation: when the DO$_2$crit is reached, the deteriorated myocardial performance represents imminent breakdown of total body oxygenation.

While DO$_2$crit reflects anaemia tolerance at the level of the whole body, particular organs (brain, kidneys, splanchnic organs) may reach their specific critical DO$_2$ at an earlier stage of dilutional anaemia. This implies that the oxygenation of a single organ may be critically impaired at a higher haemoglobin concentration than the total-body Hb$_{crit}$.

Effects of transfusion on oxygen transport and tissue oxygenation

In clinical routine, a transfusion trigger (based on a defined haemoglobin concentration or on the recognition of a physiological transfusion trigger, see below) is usually met before the individual anaemia tolerance is completely exhausted. In this situation,
the transfusion of RBC concentrates is intended to increase DO₂ and hence tissue oxygenation.

Global oxygen transport

To what extent DO₂ is actually enhanced by a RBC transfusion depends mainly on the degree of anaemia at the initiation of transfusion. Besides increasing CaO₂, the augmentation of the haematocrit - and hence blood viscosity - counteracts the effects of acute anaemia on left ventricular pre- and afterload and thereby the major compensatory mechanisms of acute anaemia.

As a consequence, the cardiac output may decrease so that DO₂ may not necessarily increase following the transfusion of allogeneic RBC. Inasmuch, it may also be scrutinised whether total body oxygen uptake and consumption (VO₂) can be increased by RBC transfusions. Hebert et al. recently reviewed 18 clinical studies investigating the effects of RBC transfusions on the DO₂/VO₂ relationship in critically ill patients.

In all of these studies, the haematocrit and CaO₂ could be elevated by RBC transfusions. However, an increase of DO₂ was documented in only 14 studies and an increase of VO₂ was observed in only five of them². The inconsistent effects of RBC transfusions on VO₂ may also be related to methodological problems including the imprecision of calculating VO₂ using the reverse Fick principle²⁴. Moreover, standard methods for determination of VO₂ (reverse Fick principle or, more validly, indirect calorimetry) assess total body oxygen consumption, but not regional VO₂.

Whether a RBC transfusion may increase VO₂ depends on how severely tissue oxygenation is impaired, i.e. on the existence of tissue hypoxia and oxygen debt. Typically, a supply-dependency of VO₂ is observed in shock¹¹ and in critical normovolaemic anaemia⁰⁰. In anaesthetised dogs subjected to cardiopulmonary bypass with critically decreased pump flow, van der Linden et al. demonstrated that supply dependency could be reverted by the
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Table I - Limits of acute anaemia tolerance in different species as reflected by the individual critical Hb-concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Anaesthesia</th>
<th>FiO₂</th>
<th>Plasma substitute</th>
<th>Identification of Hb_crit</th>
<th>Hb_crit [g/dL]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fontana et al.¹⁴</td>
<td>Man (Child)</td>
<td>Isoflurane</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>Decay of VO₂</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Woerkens et al.²¹</td>
<td>Man (84 yrs.)</td>
<td>Enflurane Fentanyl Pancuronium</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Gelatine</td>
<td>Decay of VO₂</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zollinger et al.³¹</td>
<td>Man (58 yrs.)</td>
<td>Propofol Fentanyl Pancuronium</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Gelatine</td>
<td>ST-segment depression</td>
<td>~ 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cain⁵</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>Pentobarbital</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Dextrane</td>
<td>Decay of VO₂</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meier et al.¹¹</td>
<td>Pg</td>
<td>Propofol Fentanyl</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>HES</td>
<td>Decay of VO₂</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pape et al.³⁶</td>
<td>Pg</td>
<td>Propofol Fentanyl</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>HES</td>
<td>Decay of VO₂</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemming et al.³⁵</td>
<td>Pg</td>
<td>Midazolam Morphone Pancuronium</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>HES</td>
<td>ST-segment depression</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meisner et al.³⁴</td>
<td>Pg</td>
<td>Diazepam Morphone Pancuronium</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Albumine</td>
<td>ST-segment depression</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meier et al.³²</td>
<td>Pg</td>
<td>Propofol Fentanyl Pancuronium</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>HES</td>
<td>Decay of VO₂</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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transfusion of fresh RBC as effectively as by the establishment of an appropriate pump flow. In critically ill patients suffering from acute respiratory distress syndrome with oxygen supply dependency and lactic acidosis, Kruse et al. demonstrated that blood transfusions contributed to the augmentation of DO₂, thereby providing a significant increase of VO₂.

**Tissue oxygenation**

At the level of the microcirculation, oxygen is released to the tissues from microvessels (arterioles and capillaries). Apart from convective oxygen transport, RBC also seem to contribute to tissue oxygenation by maintaining microvascular perfusion. In an experimental study of haemorrhagic shock using the hamster window chamber model, Cabrales et al. demonstrated that functional capillary density could be restored as effectively by non-functional RBC (haemoglobin oxidised to methaemoglobin) as by functional, oxygen-carrying RBC. While both oxygen-carrying and non-functional RBC were superior to acellular fluid resuscitation with HES with regards to functional capillary density, oxygen-carrying RBC provided the highest microvascular and tissue tpO₂ values.

RBC transfusions were also demonstrated to increase functional capillary density in anaemic preterm infants and in septic adult ICU patients with impaired microvascular function. In patients with traumatic brain injury or subarachnoid haemorrhage, RBC transfusion and maintenance of haematocrit values > 30% was found to improve cerebral perfusion and hence the oxygenation of brain tissue.

The impact of the storage process and the so-called storage lesion on oxygen-carrying properties and the efficacy of RBC regarding tissue oxygenation are much debated at present. Alterations of RBC physiology have been comprehensively described ex vivo. Reduced deformability, increased adhesiveness and aggregability of stored RBC impair their rheological properties; anaerobic cellular metabolism with reduced contents of 2,3 disphosphoglycerate and ATP increases oxygen affinity and impairs oxygen release to the tissues. However, the clinical significance of these findings remains unclear. In healthy volunteers subjected to acute normovolaemic anaemia, Weiskopf et al. found no differences between fresh (< 5 hours) and stored (> 3 weeks) RBC regarding their efficacy in restoring neurocognitive deficits observed during haemodilution. Likewise, Hebert et al. found no differences regarding morbidity and mortality in ICU patients transfused with RBC stored for 4 or 19 days.

Contrasting with these findings, some older studies suggest that the transfusion of stored RBC is associated with an increased risk of nosocomial pneumonia or with impaired oxygenation of the gastric mucosa.

**Physiological transfusion triggers**

The individual decision to give a RBC-transfusion can be based either on pre-defined haemoglobin concentrations (e.g., 6-7 g/dL in young and healthy patients or 8-10 g/dL in patients with pre-existing cardiovascular disease) or on the occurrence of physiological transfusion triggers.

Parameters indicating a physiological transfusion trigger include increased catecholamine demand, tachycardia, ST-segment alterations, newly occurring arrhythmias, compromised left ventricular contractility (TEE), lactic acidosis, and increased total body oxygen extraction (SvO₂<65%). Prerequisites for the correct interpretation of these physiological signs are the maintenance of normovolaemia and appropriate depth of anaesthesia.

These parameters reflect a potentially reversible limitation of oxygen supply to peripheral tissues or to the myocardium as the motor of haemodynamic compensation of acute anaemia. Inasmuch, the reversal of a physiological transfusion trigger by a RBC transfusion can be judged as a direct indicator of transfusion effectiveness.

**Effects of transfusion on mortality and morbidity**

In the presence of an adequate transfusion trigger, the increase in oxygen transport capacity with RBC-transfusions restores adequate tissue oxygenation and is, therefore, life-saving in situations of critically impaired oxygen supply. However, the benefit of blood transfusions with regards to reduced morbidity and mortality has not been universally documented in large clinical studies.

**Mortality**

In a meta-analysis of ten randomised controlled trials investigating the outcome of a liberal versus a restrictive transfusion strategy, Carson et al. reported...
that a restrictive transfusion policy was not associated with an increase in mortality. Indeed, mortality was averaged to be one fifth lower in restrictively transfused patients, although this difference from the liberally transfused cohort was not statistically significant (p=0.07). The largest study included in this meta-analysis was the Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care (TRICC) study by Hebert et al., which contributed 83% of the pooled mortality data. The TRICC trial enrolled 838 patients treated in Canadian ICU between November 1994 and November 1997. The overall finding of this study was that the 30-day mortality did not differ between patients allocated to a liberal versus a restrictive transfusion policy (target haemoglobin concentration 10-12 g/dL versus 7-9 g/dL). Moreover, in certain subgroups (patients younger than 55 years, patients with APACHE scores <20), a restrictive transfusion policy was even associated with a significantly reduced 30-day mortality rate.

In a smaller randomised prospective trial (n=99 patients undergoing elective vascular surgery), Bush et al. consistently reported no differences in mortality rates between deliberately or restrictively transfused patients. Similar results were reported in premature infants with extremely low birth weight assigned to a transfusion algorithm with low or high transfusion thresholds.

The large ABC study (Anaemia and Blood Transfusion in Critically Ill Patients), a prospective observational study of 3,534 patients from 145 western European ICU, investigated the impact of transfusion on mortality and morbidity by propensity-matched comparison of transfused and non-transfused patients enrolled in November 1999. This study reported significantly higher mortality rates (overall, ICU and 28-day mortality) in patients who received blood transfusions than in those who did not.

Similarly, 4,892 patients from 248 ICU in the USA were prospectively enrolled in the observational CRIT study (enrolment period: August 2000 –April 2001) to investigate the impact of anaemia and RBC transfusions on clinical outcomes by propensity-matched comparison of transfused and non-transfused patients. This study identified the following independent predictors of mortality: (i) the number of RBC transfusions a patient received during the ICU stay, and (ii) anaemia with a nadir haemoglobin concentration below 9 g/dL.

The above mentioned findings of the TRICC, ABC and CRIT studies were recently challenged by the results of the SOAP study (Sepsis Occurrence in Acutely Ill Patients). Similarly to the ABC and CRIT studies, the SOAP study was conducted as a prospective observational study; it was carried out in 198 European ICU and involved 3,147 patients who were enrolled in May 2002. Again, the aim was to investigate the impact of RBC transfusions on mortality and morbidity (propensity case matching). The major finding of this study was that the 30-day survival rate was significantly higher in transfused patients.

**Morbidity**

The results of clinical studies investigating the effects of blood transfusion on morbidity are at least as inconsistent as those regarding the effects on mortality. In a small randomised prospective study of patients undergoing major vascular surgery, Bush et al. found no differences in cardiac morbidity between patients assigned to a restrictive or a liberal transfusion regimen. While the maintenance of high haematocrit levels was demonstrated to reduce neurological morbidity in preterm infants (reduced incidence of intraparenchymal brain haemorrhage and apnoeic episodes) and adults with traumatic brain injury or subarachnoid haemorrhage, a retrospective study of 11,963 patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting demonstrated that transfusion was independently associated with an increased risk of post-operative morbid events (renal failure, prolonged ventilatory support, infections, cardiac complications, neurological events). However, the large prospective TRICC, ABC, CRIT and SOAP studies concordantly documented an elevated morbidity rate in critically ill patients requiring allogeneic RBC transfusions. In particular, Hebert et al. found that cardiac morbidity (myocardial infarction, pulmonary oedema) was increased in patients assigned to the liberal transfusion strategy. In their ABC study, Vincent et al. demonstrated that blood transfusion was associated with an increased time spent in the ICU and a higher incidence of organ failure, as assessed by the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score. The CRIT study by Corwin et al. identified the number of RBC transfusions and a nadir haemoglobin level <9 g/dL as independent predictors of adverse clinical outcome.
as reflected by increased durations of ICU and hospital stays and a higher incidence of complications\textsuperscript{10}. Despite the reduction of mortality in transfused patients, the SOAP study by Vincent \textit{et al}. also demonstrated increased durations of ICU and hospital stays among patients who received RBC concentrates. However, in this study it was well documented that transfused patients were more critically ill than non-transfused patients on admission to the ICU, as reflected by higher initial SOFA scores in the former\textsuperscript{44}.

\textbf{Limitations}

Only very few randomised prospective trials have assessed the efficacy of allogeneic RBC transfusions. Most of them included only a small number of patients and the majority of the trials were performed before the implementation of the general leucodepletion programme.

\textbf{Study design and quality of evidence.}

The ideal design of a trial investigating the effects of a certain treatment --a prospective, randomised, placebo-controlled and double-blinded study protocol-- is most appropriate to balance known and unknown patients' characteristics that may influence the investigated outcome parameters, since ideally the treatment intervention is the only difference between the study groups. However, for several reasons (including ethical and practical considerations), the transfusion of blood can usually not be subjected to a randomised, placebo-controlled study protocol.

A possible compromise was achieved with the design of the TRICC study, in which patients were randomly assigned to a prospectively defined treatment modality (liberal versus restrictive transfusion strategy, see above). Inasmuch, this trial fulfils the criteria of a large, randomised prospective trial (evidence level Ib).

In contrast, the above-cited ABC, CRIT and SOAP studies were prospective observational studies (evidence level IIa). In these studies, transfused patients were compared with non-transfused patients. As patients requiring blood transfusions are usually supposed to be sicker than non-transfused patients and blood transfusions were not administered on the basis of a prospective randomisation, the study populations were very likely to have differed in many other variables than only the treatment intervention (i.e., transfusion versus non-transfusion). To statistically balance these potential confounders (i.e., variables with potential impact on outcome, so-called covariates), the propensity score method is frequently chosen in observational studies. Basically, this method involves a two-step logistic regression model to predict the probability of exposure ("propensity") to a treatment condition (so-called treatment model) and secondly, to evaluate the exposure-outcome relationship (outcome model). Usually, all measured confounders are summarised a propensity-score, which allows for case-matching in non-randomised studies\textsuperscript{42}.

A major source of bias is that only observed baseline covariates are included, while unmeasured co-variables may not be considered. Due to the opacity of this statistical process, propensity score analyses are somewhat suggestive of a "black box feeling"\textsuperscript{36}.

\textbf{Impact of leucoreduction}

Among the large studies reporting the impact of RBC transfusions on patients' outcome, the SOAP study by Vincent \textit{et al}. was the first to carried out after the implementation of the general leucodepletion programme\textsuperscript{54}.

This finding is relevant, as white blood cells are responsible for transfusion-related morbidity, such as transfusion-related lung injury and transfusion-related immunomodulation, and the transmission of cell-associated viruses (cytomegalovirus, human T-cell lymphotrophic virus, Epstein-Barr virus). Moreover, the effects of storage and white blood cell content seem to interact: Aniss and Sparrow observed, \textit{in vitro}, increased adherence of stored RBC to an endothelial monolayer, which was significantly lower in leucoreduced RBC samples\textsuperscript{2}, indicating that some of the detrimental effects of blood storage may be reduced in leucoreduced blood\textsuperscript{23}.

Consistently, recent meta-analyses by Fergusson \textit{et al}.,\textsuperscript{13} and Blumberg \textit{et al}.,\textsuperscript{5} suggest a beneficial effect of leucoreduction through a decrease in post-operative rates of infection. In a retrospective analysis of two cohorts of surgical patients transfused before and after implementation of the universal leucoreduction programme (total n=14,786), Hebert \textit{et al}., found that the transfusion of leucoreduced blood was associated with fewer febrile reactions and reduced need for antibiotic treatment\textsuperscript{20}. In contrast, randomised controlled trials by Dzik \textit{et al}.,\textsuperscript{12} and Bilgin \textit{et al}.,\textsuperscript{4} found no differences regarding morbidity or antibiotic use.
in patients transfused with leucoreduced or standard buffy-coat depleted RBC concentrates.

In any case, the exclusive use of leucoreduced blood products is nowadays prescribed in many European countries.

Conclusions

The transfusion of allogeneic RBC concentrates is intended to improve tissue oxygenation and hence patients' outcome. Whether transfusion actually meets these expectations is difficult to estimate for several reasons. Firstly, the individual organism can substantially compensate for acute anaemia, with the range of anaemia tolerance being particularly dependent on individual cardiovascular health status. The efficacy of a RBC transfusion will, therefore, only become apparent in the presence of an adequate transfusion trigger. Secondly, large clinical trials have yielded inconsistent results. While some studies documented increased morbidity and mortality rates in ICU patients who had received RBC transfusions, a recent large observational study found, for the first time, a reduction of mortality in transfused patients. However, most relevant clinical studies have methodological limitations suggesting that their results should be interpreted with caution. Thirdly, there is still a lack of adequate monitoring of tissue oxygenation in clinical transfusion practice. This is important, as the elevation of haematocrit with oxygenation in clinical transfusion practice. This is still a lack of adequate monitoring of tissue oxygenation with the range of anaemia tolerance being particularly dependent on individual cardiovascular health status. The efficacy of a RBC transfusion will, therefore, only become apparent in the presence of an adequate transfusion trigger. Secondly, large clinical trials have yielded inconsistent results. While some studies documented increased morbidity and mortality rates in ICU patients who had received RBC transfusions, a recent large observational study found, for the first time, a reduction of mortality in transfused patients. However, most relevant clinical studies have methodological limitations suggesting that their results should be interpreted with caution. Thirdly, there is still a lack of adequate monitoring of tissue oxygenation in clinical transfusion practice. This is important, as the elevation of haematocrit with increases in arterial oxygen content (\( \text{CaO}_2 \)) and blood viscosity counteracts major compensatory mechanisms in acute normovolaemic anaemia (in particular, the increase of cardiac output), so that DO\(_2\) may not increase despite elevated \( \text{CaO}_2 \). As the dynamics of the haematocrit may have a distinct effect on microcirculatory function, monitoring of regional tissue oxygenation is of particular importance when assessing the efficacy of a blood transfusion. Finally, the efficacy of RBC transfusions - as reflected by morbidity and mortality - may also be influenced by leucodepletion and storage of blood products. The relevance of these issues required further research.

At present there are some direct and indirect indicators available for evaluating the efficacy of RBC transfusions. The most convincing direct indicators of blood transfusion effectiveness include the reduction of mortality in acute situations with otherwise fatal or life-threatening blood loss and the reversal of a physiological transfusion trigger by restoration of adequate tissue oxygenation. Indirect indicators of efficacy were provided by the CRIT study, which suggested that anaemia in the critically ill patient is also associated with increased morbidity. Moreover, the main result of the TRICC trial (i.e., a restrictive transfusion policy is at least as effective as a liberal strategy) implies that even a moderate quantity of allogeneic RBC may be sufficient to achieve a satisfactory outcome.

In conclusion, whether a RBC transfusion will affect clinical outcome remains dependent on the individual patient's demand (dynamics of blood loss, prevalence of an oxygen debt, choice of transfusion trigger).

The decision to administer a blood transfusion should, therefore, be based on clinical judgement of the individual’s risk/benefit ratio including risks associated with transfusion and anaemia, respectively. To obtain systematic evidence about blood transfusion efficacy, we still need large randomised prospective trials investigating appropriate transfusion triggers in various populations of patients.
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